PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	application of:)				
••		:	Examiner: Douglas Q. Tran			
NOBUAKI MIYAHARA, et al.)	Group Art Unit: 20	624		
Application No.: 09/314,123)	Group Art Omt. 20	024		
Filed: May 19, 1999)				
For:	PROCESSING OF)				
	MULTIPLE DATA	:				
	TRANSFER JOBS)	August 16, 2004	RECEIVED		
Mail S	stop Amendment			AUG 2 6 2004		
	hissioner for Patents					
P.O. Box 1450				Technology Center 2600		
	ndria, VA 22313-1450					

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated March 16, 2004, the period for response to which having been extended to August 16, 2004 by the accompanying Petition for Extension of Time. Claims 1 to 16 are presented for examination, of which Claims 1, 6, 13 and 15 are independent.

Reconsideration and further examination are respectively requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment; Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

August 16, 200)4
(Date of Depos	sit)
Carole A. Quinn	(Reg. 39,000)
(Name of Attorney for	Applicant)
12,0018	
(avolt & see	August 16, 2004
Signature	Date of Signature

Initially, Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 1 to 5.

Applicants' undersigned representative wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesies and thoughtful treatment afforded during the August 11, 2004 telephonic interview. This Response has been prepared in accordance with agreements reached during the interview, and accurately summarizes the content of the interview.

Claims 6 to 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,502,147 (Reilly) and U.S. Patent No. 6,493,408 (Kurogane). Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

During the interview, independent Claims 6, 13 and 15 were discussed. Specifically, it was agreed that neither Reilly nor Kurogane disclosed or suggested the feature of inputting a second instruction which indicates that the external device is to be informed of a result of a processing of the job or which indicates that the external device is not to be informed of a result of a processing of the job. Accordingly, independent Claims 6, 13 and 15 are believed to be allowable over the applied references.

The other claims in the application are each dependent from the independent claims and are believed to be allowable over the applied references for at least the same reasons. Because each dependent claim is deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual consideration of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa,

California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Carole A. Quinn

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 39,000

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 82348v1

In re Application of:

NOBUAKI MIYAHARA, et al.

Application No.: 09/314,123

Filed: May 19, 1999

For: PROCESSING OF MULTIPLE

DATA TRANSFER JOBS

Docket No. 03560.002391

Examiner: Douglas Q. Tran

Group Art Unit: 2624

Date: August 16, 2004

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 2004

Sir:

Technology Center 2600

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

X No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		(4) HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	* 16	MINUS	** 20	= 0	x \$9 \$18	0
INDEP. CLAIMS	* 4	MINUS	***	0	x \$43 \$86	0
Fee for Multiple Dependent claims \$145°/\$290						
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT					0	

* If the entry in Column 2 is less than the entry in Column 4, write "0" in Column 5.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

08/23/2004 MAHHEDT 00000066 09314123-

01 F0:1252-

-420:00 OF

	Verified Statement claiming small entity status is enclosed, if not filed previously.			
	A check in the amount of \$ is enclosed.			
	Charge \$ to Deposit Account No. 06-1205. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.			
X	Any prior general authorization to charge an issue fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.18 to Deposit Account No. 06-1205 is hereby revoked. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required during the entire pendency of this application, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 06-1205. A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed.			
X	A check in the amount of \$_420.00 to cover the fee for a two month extension is enclosed.			
	A check in the amount of \$ to cover the Information Disclosure Statement fee is enclosed.			
X	Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.			
	Respectfully submitted,			
	Attorney for Applicants Carole A. Quinn			
	Registration No. 39,000			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

Form #120

CA_MAIN 82367v1